around Srinagar, Himachal Pradesh around Mandi and northern portion of Bihar adjoining Nepal.

Zone IV—Delhi, remaining portion of Jammu & Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh, Sikkim and adjoining West Bengal, parts of Punjab, Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, a small portion near Calcutta and Maharashtra near Koyna (South of Bombay) and a part of Gujarat.

Zone III—Kerala, Goa, parts of Punjab, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh Bihar and adjoining West Bengal a small parts of Orissa near Bhubneswar, Andhra Pradesh and a small area of Rajasthan.

Zone II—Tamil Nadu, parts of Karnataka, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa.

Zone I—Remaining parts of Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh.

Zone V is seismically most active where earthquake of magnitude 8 or more on Richter scale may occur.

Zone I is the least seismically active area and earthquake of slight intensity only may occur.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Immediate relief is provided by the State Government. The Central Government supplements the efforts of the State Government.

Diplomatic status to ANC Mission in Delhi

4430. SHRI M.A. BABY : Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) what are the reasons for not granting full diplomatic Status to the ANC mission in Delhi; and

(b) when Government propose to confer the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (PROF. K. K. TEWARI) : (a) and (b) Government have consistently extended all possible political, moral and material support to the ANC. The question of according diplomatic status to the ANC Mission in Delhi continues to engage the attention of Government.

Tender for Supplying Combat Uniforms

4431. SHRI SHANKER SINGH VAGHELA :

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN :

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a report in the Indian Express dated the 25th May, 1988 that an offer of supplying combat uniforms made against a tender could not be availed of in April, 1983 due to failure of the Department of Defence Production and Supplies to indicate clearly the technical and commercial specifications and later on in June, 1983 a second tender had to be floated which resulted in paying an extra amount of about Rs. 5.10 crores, if so, what are the details thereof;

(b) whether an inquiry has been made, if so, what are the findings thereof and upon whom the responsibility has been fixed and with what follow-up action; and

(c) if no inquiry has been made what are the reasons therefor?